

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764 http://www.state.in.us/iurc/ Office: (317) 232-2701 Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

FILED

COMPLAINT OF NUVOX COMMUNICATIONS)	
OF INDIANA INC., AGAINST SBC INDIANA)	OCT 2 4 2003
REGARDING ITS UNLAWFUL BILLING)	VV: 2 4 200J
PRACTICES FOR COLLOCATION POWER)	INDIANA CHILITY
CHARGES)	RI GULATORY COMMISSION
)	CAUSE NO. 42398
RESPONDENT:)	
INDIANA BELL TELEPHONE)	
COMPANY, D/B/A SBC INDIANA)	

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") has caused the following entry to be made:

On August 15, 2003, AT&T Communications of Indiana GP ("AT&T") on its own behalf, and on behalf of its affiliate TCG Indianapolis, filed a *Petition to Intervene* in this Cause. On August 19, 2003, the Presiding Officer issued a Docket Entry which granted AT&T's *Petition to Intervene*. On August 25, 2003, Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Inc., d/b/a SBC Indiana ("SBC Indiana") filed SBC Indiana's Memorandum in Opposition to AT&T's Petition to Intervene and Petition for Reconsideration and/or Appeal to the Full Commission of the Docket Entry Dated August 19, 2003.

On September 2, 2003, AT&T filed a Reply ("Reply") to the Motion submitted by SBC Indiana. AT&T indicated in its Reply that its participation in this matter will not serve to unduly broaden the scope or schedule of these proceedings. AT&T went on to indicate that it recognizes that, as an intervenor, it is bound by all rulings and other matters of record prior to the time it was made a party, and takes the case as it finds it as of the date of intervention.

The Presiding Officer hereby reconsiders the determination made in the August 19, 2003, Docket Entry and affirms that AT&T has a substantial interest in this proceeding and should be permitted to continue as an Intervenor in this Cause.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Scott R. Storms, Chief Administrative Law Judge

Nancy E. Manley, Secretary to the Commission